OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2021 Lower Broadmoor Road/School Hill – Prohibition of waiting restrictions		
Date Advertised:	25.11.2020	No. of objections / comments received:	4

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXX School Hill	X XXXXXX says that X is in full agreement with the proposals but is suggesting to extend the yellow lines on Lower Broadmoor Road past house numbers 27 and 29 and 1 School Hill. X says that morning and evening traffic regularly park across these drives. As a result, traffic travelling down Lower Broadmoor Road is on the wrong side of the road causing a risk to pedestrians as the pavement is lowered for access to the school car park. The resident mentions that even though there are flashing warning lights at the beginning and the end of school, there are still vehicles who ignore the 20mph speed limit. X says at present because of COVID, parents are queueing along Lower Broadmoor Road on the pavement waiting for their children to be admitted and to collect them from school. With the traffic calming measures on School Hill, too many cars are parking right on School Hill. X XXXXXXX suggests the double yellow lines are extended up to the calming measure on the hill.	Site visits identified this part of Lower Broadmoor Road and School Hill having a parking problem that requires measures to be taken. Areas near the mini roundabout were focussed on in order to improve site lines when entering and exiting the roundabout. Parking in other areas of Lower Broadmoor Road was not identified to be an issue that warranted parking restrictions at the time of the site visits. However, once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic Regulation Order (TRO). The site visits that took place at the time did not witness obstructive parking across driveways, however as stated above, once the restrictions are put in place we will continue to monitor the area and if additional restrictions are required, we will put them forward in the next TRO. The 20mph limit is an advisory limit but if the resident believes motorists are driving recklessly and dangerously during the school pick up times, these offences are enforceable by the Police. Unfortunately, the Council cannot enforce dangerous driving. Due to the increased parking during school drop off and pick up times, we are satisfied that the majority will be following the advisory limit.	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXXXXX School Hill	X XXXXXXX welcomes the new restrictions. However, the resident would like the yellow lines extended to the kerb outside 5/7 School Hill. X says parents are parking here causing problems and blind spots at the roundabout and with the parking bays to the left, making it hard to see traffic in either direction. Cars also park here and sometimes over spill on the dropped kerb in front of X house, making it difficult to exit X driveway.	Site visits identified this part of Lower Broadmoor Road and School Hill having a parking problem that requires measures to be taken. Areas near the mini roundabout were focussed on in order to improve site lines when entering and exiting the roundabout. Parking in other areas of Lower Broadmoor Road was not identified to be an issue that warranted parking restrictions at the time of the site visits. However, once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be	Proceed as advertised

	X says that if the yellow lines were not extended, X believes the area will constantly be in use by parents and cause the issues mentioned above to get worse. X asks how the yellow lines will be enforced and if there is anything the residents can do.	monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic Regulation Order (TRO).	
Crowthorne Parish Council	Crowthorne Parish Council members have considered the introduction of waiting restrictions here and approves the proposals.	Comments noted	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXXXXX Lower Broadmoor Road	The resident states that they along with several other residents regularly use the northern side of Lower Broadmoor Road after the roundabout to park their cars as they do not have driveways. The resident adds that they are concerned the proposed restrictions extend too far along the northern side of Lower Broadmoor Road so limiting available parking for residents. Historically, there have always been residents cars parked on this stretch of road before the steps outside the boundary between 29 & 31 Lower Broadmoor Road without any concerns over safety or visibility. They state that it would appear that only 2 cars will be able to park here which would lead to residents having to park further away from their homes and increasing parking pressures elsewhere. Additionally as they have small children, parking further away from the home leads to additional safety concerns. They ask that this section of restrictions be shortened so that the restrictions end no further than opposite the boundary between 9 Lower Broadmoor Road and the school.	Site visits identified this part of Lower Broadmoor Road and School Hill having a parking problem that requires measures to be taken. Areas near the mini roundabout were focussed on in order to improve site lines when entering and exiting the roundabout. Parking in other areas of Lower Broadmoor Road was not identified to be an issue that warranted parking restrictions at the time of the site visits. However, once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic Regulation Order (TRO). There is approximately a length of 15m of unrestricted carriageway between the steps and the start of the restrictions potentially allowing up to 3 vehicles to park in this section of road.	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr R Wade commented - Happy for this process to be implemented

Cllr T Mckenzie-Boyle - Happy for this change in the parking restrictions to go ahead as per the comments from the Parish Council and local residents.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2021 Tippits Mead – Prohibition of waiting restrictions		
Date Advertised:	25.11.2020	No. of objections / comments received:	3

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXXX Tippits Mead	The resident is objecting to the parking restrictions. X mentions that X has lived at Tippits Mead for almost X years and the number of cars that are parked opposite X driveway has increased as occupants do not park their own cars outside their own property. X says it is becoming increasingly more difficult to reverse out of the driveway because of the number of cars parked opposite and vehicles are also driving too fast into Tippits Mead, increasing the likelihood of an accident.	Site visits identified this part of Tippits Mead as having a parking problem that needed measures to be taken to address the issue. Parking in other areas of Tippits Mead was not identified to be an issue when on site observations were taken. However, once the restrictions are installed the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
	X says if the proposed restrictions are approved then there will be an increased amount of cars that will park along Tippits Mead, causing obstructions to other households in Tippits Mead, X is asking if the restrictions can be extended around the corner of the T junction as far as X driveway as without this cars will park right up to the corner of the junction causing blind spots.		
X X XXXXX & X X XXXXXXXX	The residents are objecting to the proposed parking restrictions. They state that the parking available in the cul-de-sac is usually full and the	Site visits identified this part of Tippits Mead as having a parking problem that needed measures to be taken to address the issue. Parking in other areas of Tippits Mead was not identified to be an issue	Proceed as advertised
Tippits Mead	2 spaces that are to be removed is the only available for visitors. They add that if the parking is removed then cars will park around the corner leading to traffic coming down the hill will have to drive on the wrong side of the road around a bend. They state that the cars parked in the proposed area do not significantly affect entry or exit from the cul-de-sac for vehicles smaller than a refuse lorry. They add the majority of the damage to the kerb is in fact caused by the refuse vehicles who mount the kerb even when there are no vehicles parked opposite.	when on site observations were taken. However, once the restrictions are installed the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic Regulation Order. The restrictions are not only put in place to prevent the mounting of the kerb, they are also to allow for increased visibility from the junction which is currently less than adequate. With the increased visibility, vehicles of all types will be able to safely enter and exit the junction. We are unable to reduce the length of the restrictions as this is the minimum length required in order to maintain access. As access is needed at all times, having a time restriction would not work in this	

	They ask if the proposed restrictions could be time limited to coincide with the refuse collection times. Finally they ask if the restrictions are introduced could the length be reduced by 2.5metres at the eastern end. As proposed the restrictions will only leave enough space for 1 car but space 2 is required. Reducing the length by 2.5m would still be more than adequate to allow the refuse vehicles access.	location. The restrictions are put in place to allow access for all vehicles, not just for the refuse collection.	
X X XXXXXX	The resident objects to the proposed plans as they will have a detrimental	Site visits identified this part of Tippits Mead as having a parking	Proceed as
Tippits Mead	effect to them and other families in Tippits Mead.	problem that needed measures to be taken to address the issue. Parking in other areas of Tippits Mead was not identified to be an issue	advertised
TIPPILO MICAG	They state that the street is already overly congested, and their driveway	when on site observations were taken. However, once the restrictions	
	has been blocked on numerous times.	are installed the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward in the next Traffic	
	They add that XXXXX XXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXX, and the proposals will	Regulation Order.	
	a negative impact for them visiting and other visitors as there is not	The restrictions are not only put in place to prevent the mounting of the	
	sufficient parking for the houses.	kerb, they are also to allow for increased visibility from the junction which is currently less than adequate. With the increased visibility,	
	They state that the road is not dissimilar in size to any other roads within	vehicles of all types will be able to safely enter and exit the junction.	
	Tippits Mead and the bin lorries never have trouble getting past the cars. They add that they believe the issue is more to do with them reversing in	Re-aligning the kerb would only solve the issue of kerb damage.	
	and the way the kerb sticks out at the junction. They then mount the kerb	In regards to re-aligning the carriageway, this would not be possible	
	which happens even when no cars are parked opposite.	due to the footway width being decreased below the minimum standard of 2m.	
	They ask for the proposals to be re-considered and suggest that the kerb		
	damage could be resolved by re-aligning the existing kerb line.		
	Alternatively could we consider prohibiting parking when the refuse vehicles come.		

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr J Harrison - I have no comments to add.

No comments received from Cllr A Shiv Bandari or Cllr I Leake

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2021 Westmorland Drive – Prohibition of waiting restrictions		
Date Advertised:	25.11.2020	No. of objections / 1 comments received:	

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Whitegrove Primary School	The school welcomes any changes that will help to ensure the safety of the children and parents when arriving at, or leaving, the school site.	Our site visits did not pick up this issue at the time of monitoring and it is not possible to add new lengths of restrictions without the need to readvertise the entire Order. Therefore, the Council believe the best way	Proceed as advertised
	Whilst the school welcomes the proposals, they ask if the restrictions could be extended north of Somerset Grove. Currently they have a member of staff in this area in the morning to ask drivers not to stop on this stretch as parking in this area makes it difficult for cars to pass and also for children & parents to cross the road safely.	forward is to proceed with the installation of the advertised proposals and carry out further monitoring of the parking in this area with a view to including additional proposals in the next parking Order if deemed necessary.	

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr Ingham commented, 'Parking has been difficult around Whitegrove Primary School since, well, as long as I can remember, certainly since my own children went to Whitegrove Primary. Anything that can be done to alleviate the situation particularly at school drop off and pick up times will help to keep schoolchildren safe and will be greatly appreciated.

I look forward to hearing more about how we can help this ward hot spot'

No comments were received from Cllr Barnard or Cllr McLean